

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,341	07/09/2003	Richard Allen Day	END920010118US2 (IEN-10-5	1504
26681	7590 08/09/2005		EXAMINER	
DRIGGS, LUCAS, BRUBAKER & HOGG CO. L.P.A. 38500 CHARDON ROAD			BROWN, JAYME L	
DEPT. IEN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILLOUGHBY HILLS, OH 44094			1733	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)		Ţ			
	10/616,341	DAY ET AL.		•			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T				
	Jayme L. Brown	1733					
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a remunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y will, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered time THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>09 <i>July 2003</i></u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practi	ice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 and 14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10, 12-13, and 15</u> is/are	rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by th	ie Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u>	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ted to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	g the correction is required if the drawing(	· · · · · · ·	• •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § documents have been received. documents have been received in A						
	of the priority documents have been	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	l Stage				
·	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		•				
* See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certified copies not	received.					
Attach mant/a)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🖂 Intensions S	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/9/03.	r PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A – drawn to the roughened surfaces being roughened by treating the copper surface with an oxide or an oxide replacement process (appears to read on claims 10-15).

**Species B** – drawn to the roughened surfaces being roughened by having plated thereon zinc, brass, nickel or chrome (appears to read on claims 10 and 13).

\*upon election of Species A, Applicant must make a further sub-species election:

sub-species Ai – drawn to the surface having a second roughness created by applying a photoresist material to the voltage plane, then exposing and developing the photoresist to reveal the surface to have said second roughness, then treating said second surface to provide the desired surface roughness, then removing the photoresist (appears to read on claims 11 and 14).

sub-species Aii – drawn to the surface having a second roughness created by applying a masking material to all of the areas of the voltage plane that are not to have said second roughness, then roughening those areas not covered by the masking material to have said second roughness (appears to read on claims 12 and 15).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,341

Art Unit: 1733

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-9 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. During a telephone conversation with Mr. William Hogg on August 1, 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Species A, sub-species Aii, claims 10, 12-13, and 15. Affirmation of this election must be made by

Art Unit: 1733

applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 11 and 14 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7/9/03 has been considered by the examiner.

## Specification

- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - On page 1, line 6: add in - 6,596,384 - after "Patent No.".

On page 8, lines 3 and 5: item 33 is referred to as "hole", "copper", and "copper plating". It appears in the drawings that item 33 is the copper plating. In line 3, "33" should be deleted after "hole", and in line 5, insert - - plating - - after copper.

On page 7, line 2, item 25 is referred to as "top surface 25" and on page 8, line 14, it is referred to as "roughened surfaces 25". On page 7, lines 2-3, "The lands 16

each have a top surface 25 which is maintained in the roughened condition" should be changed to - - The lands 16 each have a top roughened surface 25 - -.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-10, 12-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite because the claim language is not consistent with the terminology utilized in the specification. It is entirely unclear what Applicant is trying to claim, and prior art cannot be applied to the claim until this matter has been resolved.

For instance, the claim refers to a first layer having a substrate of dielectric material having a conductive signal plane whereas the specification interchangeably refers to a signal layer and signal plane as element 10 in the figures and considers the dielectric material 15 as part of the signal layer/signal plane, not separate from it (Spec. page 6, lines 13-17). Is the dielectric material part of the signal layer/plane or separate from it? The claim and specification are inconsistent.

The claim refers to selectively roughening at least a portion of said first surface to form a second surface having a second roughness. It is unclear what "said first surface" is. Is it the dielectric material? The signal lines? The signal lands? Or a combination

Application/Control Number: 10/616,341

Art Unit: 1733

thereof? The specification appears to teach selectively roughening a top surface (25) of the signal lands so they are rougher than the top surface of the signal lines (18) (Spec. page 6, line 19 – page 7, line 4). Is this what Applicant is attempting to claim? The current wording does not convey such as is entirely unclear as to what is being roughened. Prior art cannot be applied to the claims until these issues have been resolved.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "said portion of said second surface" in line 16 on page 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is recommended that the claim be changed to read as such:

- - The invention as defined in claim 1 wherein said second surface of said signal plane includes said lands surrounding said plated through holes. - -.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "said second portion" in line 20 on page 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The claim should also define whether it is the first roughness or second roughness in line 19. It is recommended that the claim be changed to read as such:

- - The invention as defined in claim 2 wherein said second roughness of said signal plane has an  $R_z$  value greater than about 3 microns. - -

Regarding claim 4, the roughness should be clarified as to if it is the first roughness or the second roughness in line 1 on page 14. It is recommended that the claim be changed to read as such:

- - The invention as defined in claim 2 wherein the first roughness of said at least one surface of said signal plane has an  $R_z$  value of less that about 1 micron. - -

Claims 5-7 recite the limitation "said first portion of said signal plane" in lines 5, 9, and 13 on page 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the first portion of said signal lines" in lines 16-17 on page 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 10 and 13, it is unclear whether the term "said roughened surfaces" encompasses all surfaces with either a first or second roughness. It needs to be clarified as to what surfaces the treatments are being done to.

Regarding claims 12 and 15, the term "those areas" needs to be clarified. As written now, these claims currently state that a masking material is applied to all areas of the voltage plane that are not to have a second roughness, but then these same areas are said to be roughened. It is recommended that these claims be rewritten to read as such:

- - The invention as defined in claim 1 (or 8 for claim 15) wherein said surface having said second roughness is created by, applying a masking material to all areas of said voltage plane that are not to have said second roughness, then roughening all unmasked areas to have said second roughness. - -.

Also regarding claim 12, it discusses creating a second roughness by applying a masking material to the voltage plane. This claim is dependent on claim 1 wherein the second roughness in claim 1 is on a surface of the signal plane. It is recommended that this claim either be changed to be dependent on claim 8 or that "said voltage plane" be changed to - - said signal plane - -.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,341 Page 8

Art Unit: 1733

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jayme L. Brown** whose telephone number is **571-272-8386**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on 571-272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gayme L. Brown

Jayme L. Brown

JOHNT HARAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

T. Haran